

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/596,073	06/16/00	BOLOGNA		W	254/304	
_			<b>-</b>	EXAMINER		
022249		HM22/0228	•	-		
LYON & LYO	V LLP			PRYOR A		
SUITE 4700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
633 WEST FI	IFTH STREET					
LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066				1616	4	
The second secon	er serie e er terter e de	a Tan'i Yan'i Yan'i		DATE MAILED:		
					02/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application r proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/596,073	BOLOGNA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner						
		Art Unit					
The MAII INC DATE of this communication once	Alton N. Pryor	1616	Idroop				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	iaress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(	S) FROM					
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> </ul>	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) I	thirty (30) days will	nailing date of this				
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>	y statute, cause the application to becom	e ABANDONED (35	5 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the secondary conditions are secondary to the secondary secondary to the secondary secon			the merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration,						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6,10 and 14</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIF	IED copies of the priority docume	ents have been:					
1. received.							
2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)							
3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(e).					
Attachment(s)		•					
<ul> <li>14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ul>	18) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application (					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Duplicate Claim, Warning

Applicant is advised that should claims 1; 3; and 6 be found allowable, claims 11,15,16; 4,5,12; and 13, respectively, will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3-5,7-9,11-13,15,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bologna et al (US 5,543,150; 8/6/96).

Bologna discloses a composition comprising progesterone, cross-linked polycarboxylic acid (polycarbophil), and water soluble polymer (Carbopol 934P).

Bologna teaches a method of delivering the composition to a mucosal surface (vaginal cavity).

Art Unit: 1616

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bologna as applied to claims 1,3-5,7-9,11-13,15,16 above in further view of Bologna above. Bologna teaches all that is recited in claim 2 except for the composition/method comprising progesterone in about 50% or less. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum amount of progesterone through routine experimentation. One would have been motivated to do this in order to make a composition and to develop a process that would supply progesterone to the vagina.

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/596,073

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-5,7-9,11-13,15,16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3,6-8,10,13-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,543,150. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the composition taught by the instant application and delivery system taught by the Patent have the same ingredients.

At this time, U.S. application no. 09/379,310 or attorney docket no. 525.023/9944 is unavailable to the examiner. In response to this office action, please provide examiner with a copy of the allowed claims in application no. 09/379,310. Also, if appropriate, provide a terminal disclaimer for application no. 09/379,310 with to the instant application at the time of your reply to this action.

## Claim Objection / Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6,10,14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose or suggest the instant composition and method comprising testosterone.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 703 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703 308-4628. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1616

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1235.

**ANP** 

February 24, 2001